



Publish/Subscribe Internetworking From PSIRP to PURSUIT

George Xylomenos Mobile Multimedia Laboratory, AUEB xgeorge@aueb.gr





- Why revisit internetworking?
 - No need to preach to the converted, but...
 - ...shift emphasis from endpoints to content
 - ...adapt the network to application patterns
- Why use publish/subscribe?
 - Inherently content centric
 - Natural model for many applications
 - Seems to solve some current problems
 - Especially the power of senders over receivers





- PSIRP: 2008-2010 (just ended)
 - Design and prototype the basic concepts
 - Rendezvous Topology Forwarding
 - Rough host and protocol implementations
 - Evaluation mostly by analysis and simulation
- PURSUIT: 2010-2013 (just started)
 - Explore lower and higher layers
 - Shift emphasis to inter-domain issues
 - More comprehensive evaluation







PSIRP/PURSUIT







- Spiral development model
 - Design, prototype, evaluate, repeat
 - Worked surprisingly well so far
- PSIRP managed 2-3 iterations
 - Very exploratory initial phases
 - Three closely located partners
 - Loosely coupled modules (maybe too loosely!)
- PURSUIT will probably manage 2 iterations
 - We know more, but we aim for more!
 - More partners in development from the start



PSIRP PUBLISH-SUBSCRIBE INTERNET ROUTING PARADIGM High-level architecture









- Information is published within (possibly many) scopes
 - Scopes are information collections
 - Scopes implement governance Data: Mail Data: Picture Governance policy Governance Scope Company A policy Scope Family **Scope Friends** Governance policy **Spouse** Colleague Father Friend 7



Information identifiers





- Information is identified by
 - Scope ID (flat) and
 - Rendezvous ID (flat)
- Higher layer
 - Application IDs
 - Arbitrary
 - Resolution?
- Lower layer
 - Forwarding IDs
 - zFilters





- Securely matches
 - entities (publishers and subscribers)
 - wishing to communicate (via publications)
 - on a certain topic (indicated by a RId)
 - inside a given scope (indicated by a SId)
- Locates rendezvous point(s) for a particular scope
 - Dedicated control plane (slow path)
- Two tier architecture
 - Individual rendezvous networks
 - Global rendezvous interconnect





- zFilter: in packet source route encoded as Bloom filter
 - Each link has a domain-local Link ID
 - Link tags of a path are combined
- Advantages
 - Fast forwarding
 - No local routing tables
 - Native multicast
- Disadvantages
 - Intradomain only
 - Extensions in PURSUIT







- Intra-domain (PSIRP)
 - Topology manager(s) in each domain
 - OSPF like protocol distributes Link IDs
 - Shortest paths are encoded to zFilters
 - Easy to combine partial paths
- Inter-domain (PURSUIT)
 - Need to take routing policies into account
 - zFilters have limited capacity
 - Exploring label switching and label stacking











- Publications are simply memory areas
 - Sequences of pages
- Create publication
 - Allocate virtual memory objects
- Publish
 - Make content available to others
- Subscribe
 - Request and get content
- Register / Listen
 - Get notifications about publication events





- Some demo applications implemented
 - Firefox plugin, VoPSIRP, VidPSIRP, VLC tunnel
- How to get multiple Rids?
 - Assuming Google provides the first one...
- Algorithmic IDs: calculate sets of RIds
 - Good for streaming or segmentation
- BitTorrent-like: files with multiple RIds
 - Good for fixed documents
- Versioning: use the same RId repeatedly
 - Good for evolving documents





- Alternative implementation of PSIRP concepts
 - Implemented on top of IP
 - Pastry for key (ID) based routing
 - Scribe for rendezvous and multicast
 - More functionality for more overhead
 - Explored some higher layer ideas
- MultiCache: combined multicast & caching
 - Uses Scribe to serve flash crowds via multicast
 - Exploits Scribe state to keep track of caches
 - Caches serve later arrivals via unicast





- Security evaluation
 - Red-team approach, many fundamental issues
 - What are the attacker types?
 - What can the attackers do?
 - Search for vulnerabilities
 - Many found in PSIRP, more expected in PURSUIT
- Socio-economic evaluation
 - Test architecture viability in an uncertain future
 - PSIRP: System dynamics approach
 - PURSUIT: Market based approach





- Modeling and simulation issues
 - Models for traffic, user behaviour, policies?
 - Proper dimensioning for simulations?
 - Proper simulation test bed?
 - NS-3 used for the native implementation
 - OMNeT++ used for the overlay implementation
- Real experiments
 - Isolated test beds at partner sites
 - Hard to use PlanetLab for native implementation
 - Used VPN based testbed between partners











- Open source code releases
 - All PSIRP sources released to the public
 - BSD and MIT licenses
 - External code site and wiki
 - Sources and VM images
 - FreeBSD node and rendezvous implementations
 - NetFPGA forwarding implementation
- Project spin-offs
 - BitTorrent for OMNeT++ (for benchmarking)
 - Hierarchical Pastry (for global rendezvous)





- Identified in PSIRP, to expore in PURSUIT
- Rendezvous semantics
 - PSIRP assumed 1-to-N (mostly)
 - Also need N-to-1 (at least for network attachment)
- Service model
 - Document model implemented in PSIRP
 - Can a (TV) channel model be retrofitted?
- Network specific issues
 - Optical, wireless, mobile optimizations
- Transport protocols
 - Especially for multicast (back to the future!)





- PSIRP was a very ambitious project
 - Publish/subscribe everywhere in the stack
 - Many issues tackled
 - Rendezvous, local forwarding and topology, simple API
 - Opened up even more
- PURSUIT is more and less ambitious
 - Pursues many new directions
 - Lower and higher layer issues
 - Exploits previous work
 - Code base and lots of mistakes