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## Internet Clean-Slate Design

- **What stood at the beginning**
  - Collaboration
  - Cooperation
  - NO commercial traffic allowed!

- **Endpoint-centric services not enough**

- **What about:**
  - Trust?
  - Legitimacy of E2E?
    - NAT, firewalls, middleboxes
  - Role of overlays?
  - Information centrism?

### Clean-slate design...
- Question ALL fundamentals
- Challenge our thinking
- Take nothing for granted, including industry structures
- Clear vision

### ...with late binding (to reality)
- Consider migration and evolvability in separate work items
  - How to get our design into real deployments, e.g., overlay vs. IP replacement?
- Consider necessary evolution of industry (and regulatory) structures
  - How do industries need to evolve in certain scenarios?
Relevant Research Projects

- **PSIRP**: Publish Subscribe Internet Routing Paradigm
  - FP7 ICT STREP, 2008-2010
  - the basis
- **Pursuit**: Publish Subscribe Internet Technologies
  - FP7 ICT STREP, 2010-2013
  - revisiting, extending, above and below the Internet layer
- **φSAT**: The role of Satellite in the Future Internet
  - ESA funded study, 2011-2012
- **Euro-NF**: Anticipating the Network of the Future
  - From Theory to Design
  - FP7 ICT NoE, 2008-2011+
  - various topics, including network architecture
- **Eiffel**: FP7 ICT SSA, 2008-2010
  - Think-Tank continues
  - last meeting in June-July 2011 at MIT
Recognize importance of evolutionary & explorative path (balance)
Vision trajectories developed for both paths (research agendas)
Development of agendas over time (phased approach)

Interaction & debate needed for agendas & visions meet in common challenge

- Think Tank meetings
- White Papers
- FIpedia
- Creation of a community of scientific & technical experts
- Creation of European Dialog
- Identification of the areas of investigation and research that are crucial for the transformation of the Internet towards the Future Networked Society
Motivation for an Information-Oriented Architecture

- **End-to-end** communication is not the prevailing paradigm
  - Firewalls, NATs, proxy-servers…
  - **Information-centric use** of the Internet (e.g. CDNs, proxy-servers)
  - Overlay content delivery structures ignore
    - network topology & data location
    - Request aggregation hard to achieve without information-awareness!
- Imbalance of power in favor of the sender
  - The network will forward anything a sender will inject
- No trust
  - E.g., phishing, spam, viruses, worms, etc.
- No adequate support for mobility (& multicast)

It’s the new ways the Internet is used, for which it was not designed…
**Publish Subscribe Internet Vision**

- Envision a system that dynamically adapts to evolving concerns and needs of its participating users
  - information centrism
- Publish–subscribe based internetworking architecture restores the balance of network economics incentives between the sender and the receiver
- Recursive use of publish-subscribe paradigm enables dynamic change of roles between actors

**Objectives**

- Specify, implement and test an internetworked pub/sub architecture
  - follow a clean-slate design approach
- Perform qualitative and quantitative evaluation
  - Security and socio-economics important!
  - Migration and incentive scenarios important (e.g., overlay)!
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The PSIRP Project

- EU FP7 ICT STREP, 2008-2010 (http://www.psirp.org/)
- A Pub/Sub based clean-slate architecture for the Future Internet
- Multicast (& caching) will be the norm
- Security (& privacy) are main design goals
- Mobility will be considered from the early stages of the design

- *Everything* is *Information*… (content, meta-data, publications…)
- Trust-to-Trust (T2T) principle
  - Helsinki Institute for Information Technology (HIIT)
  - RWTH Aachen
  - British Telecom (BT)
  - Oy LM Ericsson Ab (LMF)
  - Nokia Siemens Networks Oy (NSNF)
  - Athens University of Economics and Business (AUEB)
  - Institute for Parallel Processing, Bulgarian Academy of Science (IPP-BAS)
  - Ericsson Hungary Ltd. (ETH)
Main Design Principles of the $\Psi$ Architecture

- **Information is multi-hierarchically organised**
  - Higher-level information semantics are constructed in the form of directed acyclic graphs (DAGs), starting with meaningless forwarding labels towards higher level concepts (e.g., ontologies).

- **Information scoping**
  - Mechanisms are provided that allow for limiting the reachability of information to the parties having access to the particular mechanism that implements the scoping.

- **Scoped information neutrality**
  - Within each scope of information, data is only forwarded based on the given (scoped) identifier.

- **The architecture is receiver-driven**
  - No entity shall be delivered data unless it has agreed to receive those beforehand, through appropriate signalling methods.
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- **Multicast**
  - *Router Assisted Overlay Multicast (RAOM)*
    - Deploying multicast functionality in an overlay fashion

- **Multicast & Caching**
  - *MultiCache*
    - Enabling caching of data delivered by multicast trees

- **Adapting to the inter-network structure**
  - *H-Pastry*
    - Canonical version of Pastry

- **Mobility Support**
  - *Overlay Multicast Assisted Mobility (OMAM)*
    - Revisiting multicast assisted mobility


The PSI (Pub/Sub Internet) Architecture

- Ψ
- Clean-Slate
- Native

- Two different prototype implementations exist
  - Blackhawk (PSIRP)
  - Blackadder (PURSUIT)
- More coming up...?
Basic Functions

- **Rendezvous**: Matches publications with subscriptions and initializes the forwarding process.

- **Topology**: Monitors the network and it creates information delivery paths.

- **Forwarding**: Implements information forwarding.
Identifiers
Ψ Publication

Ψ Subscription
zFilters Based Forwarding

Publication

Publisher

zFilter: 001101111
Rendezvous ID
DATA

Interface | Link ID
---|---
IF P-1 001100001

Node 1

IF 1-3
Match and forward

Interface | Link ID
---|---
IF 1-1 001001001
IF 1-2 001010001
IF 1-3 100011000

Node 2

IF 2-1
Match and forward

Interface | Link ID
---|---
IF 2-1 110010000
IF 2-2 000100110

Node 3

IF 3-1

Interface | Link ID
---|---
IF 3-1 010101000
IF 3-2 001010010

Subscriber

IF S-1
Security Requirements

- Publications confidentiality
  - publications should be not revealed to unauthorized subscribers
- Subscription confidentiality
  - user subscriptions should be kept secret
- Integrity, Availability
- Authentication, Anonymity
- Accountability
- Information Scoping
Security Characteristics of $\Psi$

- Pub/Sub restores the imbalance of power between sender and receiver(s)
- No information flow until *explicit* signal for
  - Interest for specific piece of information
    - Anti-Spam mechanism
  - Availability of a specific piece of information
    - Anti-DoS mechanism
- Pub/Sub facilitates
  - Anonymity
  - Mobility
  - Multihoming
- Message aggregation
  - Resource sharing (e.g., with multicast)
Resource Sharing Example

Publisher A 

Publish FFF 

Subscriber B 

Subscribe FFF 

Subscribe FFF 

Subscribe FFF 

Subscriber A 

RP
Packet Level Authentication (PLA)

- Per packet public key cryptographic operations are possible
  - at wire speed
- The network carries only authentic data
  - Requires third-party certificates
- Need not be implemented at all nodes
  - Selected key nodes
- PLA offers significant energy efficiency
- Implemented in NetFPGAs
Secure Forwarding Mechanism

- Forwarding is based on the creation of a Bloom filter (called zFilter) that contains all the link identifiers through which a packet has to travel.
- Link identifiers are unique per information flow.
- zFilter creation involves an encryption mechanism:
  - DoS attack resistant
  - Almost impossible to:
    - Redirect an information flow
    - Send arbitrary packets to a destination
Scopes: Ψ’s Information Firewalls

- Scopes allow for information location as well as for control of information dissemination
- Can be physical….
  - e.g., a sub-network
- … or logical
  - e.g., my friends in Facebook
- In scopes, access control and accounting mechanism will be implemented
Building Blocks in $\Psi$: Bubbles

- The **bubble** concept is akin to the current layering model

- The basic building block of functionality at all levels
  - from OS
  - through LAN
  - to Global Internetwork

- Bubbles offer availability and extensibility through the **recursive** execution of basic functions
Need to implement the 3 basic functions: 

*Rendezvous*, *Topology* and *Forwarding* (RTF)

- **Rendezvous**
  - responsible for matching subscriptions with publications
- **Topology**
  - monitors the network topology
  - and creates information delivery paths
- **Forwarding**
  - implements information forwarding
    - … throughout the delivery path(s)

... differently, depending on level
Mobility and Privacy support

- Bubbles support mobility as well as location privacy

Advantages of PSI in Mobility Support

- Publishers & Subscribers can seamlessly & simultaneously move
  - Data (packets) are identified independently from source or destination
  - Information (cached? content) is still transparently available

- Publish/Subscribe is **asynchronous** and **multicast**
  - Demand for content served without the need of the synchronous presence of a publisher (source)
  - Adapts better to frequent mobility

- Anonymity
  - subscribers and publishers remain anonymous (unlike IP)

- Routing and Forwarding
  - decoupling IDs from addressing is a major advantage
    - locations are ephemeral
    - no need for **triangular** routing
    - **ingress filtering** problem
    - **anycast** choice of the best source of content
Multimedia over $\Psi$

- Motive: Multimedia over $\Psi$
  - the “YouTube” of the future

- Streaming videos
  - without RTP/TCP/IP
  - only native $\Psi$

- Basic Components of the application:
  - **Publisher**: the owner of the video
  - **Subscriber**: the user that seeks to view the video

- Technologies Involved
  - Java- JMF player
  - JPSIRP
  - JNI
  - PSI

- We tried different applications
  - Video
  - Audio/voice (VoPSI)
  - ...
Publish Videos

- Publish a video or a directory with multiple videos
- Define the scope for the video she uploads to the network
- Currently done via local exchange of video knowledge

Subscribe to a Video

- Search for the desirable video using the name of the video
  - Currently done via local exchange of information
- Subscribe to its PSI-level identifiers
- Play the video while downloading

**NOTE:** The publisher knows the subscriber set for this RId, sends the metadata directly to the subscribers; no rendezvous. Subscriber with metadata for a new version, subscribes to the corresponding data chunks.
Six countries: UK, Finland, Greece, Germany, Bulgaria, US
  • In addition: Belgium during ICT
  • Tunneled over the public Internet
    • dedicated fiber where available
  • 5 sites used during ICT’10 demos
The PURSUIT Project

- EU FP7 ICT STREP, 2010-2013 (http://www.fp7-pursuit.eu/)
- *information-centric* view on networking
- Focusing on *WHAT* is being exchanged
  - rather than who are exchanging it, or where it is
- Builds on the results of PSIRP
- Designing (/extending/completing) an internet architecture based on pub/sub
  - Routing
  - Security
  - Economics
  - Unification of Wireless w/ Wireline
- 8 partners from 4 EU countries: Finland, Germany, Greece and UK
  - Aalto University (FI)
  - RWTH Aachen University (DE)
  - Athens University of Economics and Business (GR)
  - University of Cambridge (UK)
  - Oy L M Ericsson Ab (FI)
  - Centre for Research and Technology Hellas (GR)
  - University of Essex (UK)
  - CTVC Ltd (GB)
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Current Work in PURSUIT

extends PSIRP’s work & results

- Creation of robust & reliable rendezvous system & topology manager
  - Inter-domain rendezvous, topology, forwarding
- New Prototypes
  - PSIRP: Blackhawk; PURSUIT: Blackadder (new)
- Securing Scopes
  - and rethinking the implementation
- Deployment of a large PSIRP testbed for experimentation
  - and alternative evaluation tools
- secure naming services
PURSUIT Testbed

- 25 nodes
- 5 countries:
  - UK
  - Finland
  - Greece
  - Germany
  - USA
- Tunneled (VPN)
  - over the public Internet
Conclusions

- ICN is better positioned to address
  - mobility, caching, security, privacy...
  - Evolution & tussles resolved at or near run-time
- The Ψ architecture inherits the advantages of ICN & the publish/subscribe paradigm
  - In particular the security ones, but....
- PSIRP selected and added specific security mechanisms
  - Packet Level Authentication
  - Secure Forwarding (zFilters)
  - Scopes
  - Bubbles
  - Information ranking
PSI: Key Observations and Issues

- RIDs: hash of content vs. not...
  - Implications of uniquely identifying content
    - Caching (enabled/facilitated)
- SIDs as special case of RIDs
- pub/sub “recursively”
  - at many levels of the hierarchy/network
    - from wire-level to the global Internet
    - perhaps used to realize reliable transport
- Granularity of items (to publish/subscribe to)
- pub/sub model: documents vs. channels
  - versions (& IDs) of publications?
- Algorithmic Identifiers (RIDs)
  - nice for intra-channel IDs...
- asynchronous (subscribe before publish)
- search engines probably still important (at different level?)
- Naming vs. IDs?
- Mobility, multi-homing, soft handoff...
More Observations, Questions & Issues

- ... 
- information vs. content -centric vs. named data vs. pub/sub vs. ... 
- overlay vs. clean-slate
  - special-purpose nets only? Not global? 
- Wireless? 
- Rendezvous
  - powerful 
  - trusted 
    - has lots of information... 
  - target of DOS attacks 
  - networks of RPs = RN 
  - belongs to different entities than network provider? 
  - competing RN 
  - RP functionality needed at multiple & different levels 
    - intranet, global... on a wire... 
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