Realizing the Internet of Things using Information-Centric Networking

Nikos Fotiou George C. Polyzos

Mobile Multimedia Laboratory

Athens University of Economics and Business

A vision for the IoT

Many research efforts consider IoT as an enhanced WSN.

We think we need to move one step further

- How connected things can be exploited in a meaningful way?
- What about things with no computational power whatsoever?
- Application independent

ICN + IoT = Love

- J. Francois et al. "CCN Traffic Optimization for IoT," in NoF 2013: The 4th International Conference on Network of the Future, IFIP/IEEE. IEEE, Oct 2013.
- G. Piro, I. Cianci, L. Grieco, G. Boggia, and P. Camarda, "Information centric services in smart cities," Journal of Systems and Software, vol. 88, pp. 169 188, 2014.
- [...]

ICN + IoT = Love

ACM ICN-2014 – Sigcomm

15:40-16:40 Session 4: Internet of Things Session Chair: Jeff Burke (UCLA, USA)

Multi-Source Data Retrieval in IoT via Named Data Networking

Marica Amadeo (University Mediterranea of Reggio Calabria, Italy); Claudia Campolo (University Mediterranea of Reggio Calabria, Italy); Antonella Molinaro (University Mediterranea of Reggio Calabria, Italy)

Internet of Things Interest in ICN: Insights from a Life-Size CCN Deployment

Emmanuel Baccelli (INRIA, France); Christian Mehlis (Freie Universitaet Berlin, Germany); Oliver Hahm (INRIA, Germany); Thomas C. Schmidt (Hamburg University of Applied Sciences, Germany); Matthias Wählisch (Freie Universitaet Berlin, Germany)

ICN + IoT = Love

ICNRG

- Information-centric Networking: Baseline Scenarios
 - "2.8. Internet of Things"
- ICN based Architecture for IoT Requirements and Challenges
- ICN based Architecture for IoT

Challenge: Naming

- A name should identify:
 - Thing Owner/Context
 - Thing Identity
 - RFId, QRCode, Barcode
 - Properties
- Information authentication and provenance verifications
- Manageability, Revocability
- Many to one

Challenge: Contextual information lookup

- Information lookup based on name, metadata, user context
- API that hides the complexity of the underlay architecture
 - Consider things capabilities

Challenge: Trust models

- Limited (or even not at all!) computational power
- Things can be tampered
- Things can not be easily updated
- Transitive trust and trust delegation
- Eliminate the need for CA

Challenge: Access control and privacy

- Information will be replicated
- Information and its meta-data is expected to be sensitive
- Contextual access control

Challenge: Information forwarding

- Delay tolerance
- Mobility
- Traffic engineering
 - Introduction of special nodes along the path that perform information morphing
- Permanent and ephemeral subscriptions

Moving forward

- Clean slate or overlay?
 - Probably overlay is a better option: faster deployment, easier large scale experimentation, trigger new IoT applications

Thank you